IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition No.13210 of 2006

Dated: June 30, 2006

Between:

Sudhir Dutt, S/o. K. Dattatria Rao, 31 years,
Computer Operator, V.V. Evening College,
Jambagh, Hyderabad.

... Petitioner

And

The Hon. Secretary / Correspondent of
V.V. Education Society and V.V. Evening
College, Jambagh, Hyderabad, and two others.

... Respondents

Order:

This writ petition has been filed seeking a Writ of Mandamus to declare the action of the third respondent in not passing orders on the appeal dated 08-05-2006, filed by the petitioner and a consequential direction is sought to the third respondent to pass appropriate orders on the said appeal.

2. The petitioner was appointed as Computer Operator by the first

respondent society on temporary basis and was working in the second respondent college. It is the case of the petitioner that the first respondent issued proceedings dated 03-04-2006, which are impugned herein, terminating the petitioner from service with effect from
04-04-2006. Challenging the same, the petitioner is said to have preferred an appeal dated 08-05-2006 before the third respondent along with an application for stay. The grievance of the petitioner is that the third respondent has neither considered the appeal nor the stay application so far. Hence, he has come up with the present writ petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no prior approval as required has been obtained before passing the impugned order.

4. As much as the appeal dated 08-05-2006 is pending consideration before the third respondent, without going into the merits of the claim of the petitioner, I dispose of the writ petition directing the appellate authority, i.e. the third respondent to consider the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 04-04-2006, and pass appropriate orders within a period of four weeks from today, after giving notice to the petitioner as well as the first respondent.

5. The writ petition is disposed of with the above observations. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.

June 30, 2006
MRR